VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

•				Date	04/27/09
Contract ID	081121-403		Job No.	J4P1138C	
County JOH	NSON	Route 13	Original	Bid Cost \$11	,601,154.84
Contractor	IDEKER, INC		By DA	N TARR	
Designed By			Phone		
VECP#	09-35		VECP	or VECH	P/PDU 🔲
Contractor drainage layer. drains are that the drainage. Accordant blanket. The fabric. 2. Estimate of	or is to install wick of We propose to use hey are faster to instraining to manufacturally the sand blanket will reduction in const	ements and proposed chardrains and then place a 2's prefabricated strip drains in tall allowing consolidation rer's literature strip drains place to contaminated over time truction costs. \$352,72 proposed change(s) will ha	and drainag n lieu of the to start soon provide over e while the	e blanket to form sand blanket. A ner and the wick r ten times the fl strip drain is pro	n a horizontal Advantages of strip c drains provide better ow capacity of a 36" otected by a geotextile
maintenanc	e and operations.	zoposou czuzgo(c) () zm zm			
NONE				• · ·	÷.
4. Anticipated Specification		l of detailed change(s) of i	items requi	ired by Section	104.6 of the
		0.4/0.0/0.0			
		04/28/09 (date)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
		(date)			
	r issuing a change time or delivery so	order to obtain maximun chedule.	1 cost redu	ction, noting th	e effect of contract
		NONE	•	•	
(d	late)		(effec	ct)	
6. Dates of an	y previous or conc	urrent submission of the s		osal. —	

Comments:

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **

Submitted By Resident Engineer Date Comments: WE CONCUR LSTH THE RECOMMEDATION OF THE RE BUT BELIEVE, DOE TO THE NATURE OF THE VE, THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE ENTITED TO A SO/SO DISTRIBUTION OF THE SAVINGS. Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THE SPROY OS AL IS A SO/SO VE, APPROVAN PRAN DISTRICT RECOMMENSATION Approval Approval Approval State Construction and Materials Engineer Date	following locations: Station has presented MoDOT wit provide the same results. T	mation of Wick Drams and a two foot sand blank in 795+00 Station 803+52 to accelerate the process that the proposal of using Strip Drains in conjunction this falls under the Practical Design Value Engine the discovery. I recommend the 75% owner /25%	of the fill settlement. Ideker on with the Wick Drains to sering criteria. Ideker, Inc.
Comments: WE CONCUR 25 17H THE RECOMMEDATION OF THE RE BUT BELIEVE, DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE VE, THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE ENTITED TO A 50/50 DISPRIBUTION OF THE SAVINGS. Approval Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THIS PROPOSAL IS A 50/50 VE, APPROVED PAR DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval		Submitted By Resident Engineer	Dete
WE CONCUR 25/TH THE RECOMMEDIATION OF THE RE BUT BELIEVE, DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE VE, THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE ENTITLED TO A 50/50 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SAVINGS. Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: The Sprot OSAL IS A 50/50 VE, Approval PAN DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval		Submitted by Resident Engineer	Date
WE CONCUR 25/TH THE RECOMMEDIATION OF THE RE BUT BELIEVE, DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE VE, THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE ENTITLED TO A 50/50 DISTRIBUTION OF THE SAVINGS. Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: The Sprot OSAL IS A 50/50 VE, Approval PAN DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval			
Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THIS PROVOSAL IS A 50/50 VE, APPROVED PRIC DISTRICT RECOMMENSAL Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval	11)= Can	SCUR 2SITH THE RECOMMEDA	TION OF THE RE
Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THIS PROVOSAL IS A 50/50 VE, APPROVED PRIC DISTRICT RECOMMENSAL Approval Approval Approval Approval Approval	BUT BEL	TOR MAY BE ENTITLED TO A	50/50 DISTRIBUTION
Approval Recommended Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THIS PROVISAL IS A 50/50 VE, APPROVED PARA DISTRICT RECOMMENSATION Approval Approval Approval Approval	OFTHE	SAVINGS.	
Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date Comments: THS PROVOSAL 15 A 50/50 VE, APROVEN PAR DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Date	Approval	Frakt a. What	5/12/09
PANDISTACT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Approval Sold D. Color by 8th 5-26-09	1 1 9	District Engineer	<u>′</u>
PANDISTACT RECOMMENDATION Approval Approval Approval Sold D. Color by 8th 5-26-09	Comments -		1 24
Approval David D. Coopen by 890 5-26-09	1 14256	ROPUSAL 13 A SOISO UE	, Holkores
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	PAR DISTA	ICT RECOMMENDATION	
Kejection State Construction and Materials Engineer Date		NON	5-26-09
	∐ Kejection	State Construction and Materials Engineer	Date

Distribution:

Resident Engineer, Project Manager, District Operations Engineer, State Construction and Materials Engineer *Value Engineering Administrator - *MoDOT, P.O. Box 270, Jefferson City, MO 65102

Strip Drain Value Engineering Proposal

tem Numbe	Description	Bid Quantity unit	Revised Quantity	Unit Price	Contract Change
Job Number	er 1138B				
100	Compacting Embankment	290,725 CY	314,325	\$0.35	\$8,260.00
260	Sand Blanket	23,600 cy	0	21.39	(504,804.00)
290	Wick Drains	48,591 LF	54,083	0.68	3,734.56
790	Subsurface Drainage Geotextile	3,159 SY	0	2.32	(7,328.88)
new	Strip Drains	0 LF	9,861	1.85	18,242.85
		—	Total for Job 1138B	1138B	(481,895.47)
Job Number	er 1138C				
2640	Compacting Embankment	552,333 CY	569,493	0.46	7,893.60
2820	Sand Blanket	17,160 cy	0	21.55	(369, 798.00)
2850	Wick Drains	39,521 LF	44,249	0.62	2,931.36
3210	Subsurface Drainage Geotextile	3,725 SY	0	2.31	(8,604.75)
new	Strip Drains	0 LF	8,021	1.85	14,838.85

Combined Total Change (\$834,634.41)

(\$352,738.94)

Total for Job 1138C

Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT

03/03/2009 03:37 PM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W

hee

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Bruce,

I talked to Jon Voss yesterday. We agree that as long as the strip drain has adequate capacity, the VE proposal should be okay.

Thanks, Mike

Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 12:30 PM

To James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Jim,

You presented a good question. Off the top of my head, I currently don't have the answer. Historically MoDOT has used a sand blanket to drain any excess pore water pressure that gets generated. I realize the blanket thickness and the gradation of the sand influences the capacity of the blanket. I am assuming at some point time the hydraulic capacity of a 2' sand blanket may have been estimated with a specified gradation on past projects? I'm guessing it's a fairly easy calculation to perform.

In order to assist you, I am going to forward your question to the Central Office and see if they can help us out?

Ultimately, I can appreciate the contractors inquiry. I'm assuming, Ideker wants to select the proper strip drain to prevent any potential for a "blow out" as they pursue their VE proposal and the construction of the embankment?



Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modot.mo.gov
James W Sharp/D4/MODOT



James W Sharp/D4/MODOT 03/03/2009 11:02 AM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

CC

Subject Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Jim Sharp Senior Construction Inspector Clinton Project Office (660) 885-5665 (Office) (660) 890-5062 (Mobile)

---- Forwarded by James W Sharp/D4/MODOT on 03/03/2009 11:01 AM -----



Paul C Boenisch/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 10:55 AM

- To James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT
- CC Amy S Nash/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dennis K Buckley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dwayne C Severs/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Regina R Shipley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Richard S Uptegrove/D4/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Hydraulic capacity

Jim,

The two foot thickness was provided by Bruce Harvel. Since this is a geology question, Bruce is the best person to contact to see if substitution is possible.

Paul

James W Sharp/D4/MODOT



James W Sharp/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 10:19 AM

To Paul C Boenisch/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Amy S Nash/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Regina R



Shipley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W
McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT

CC Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Richard S
Uptegrove/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dwayne C

Severs/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dwayne Severs/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dennis K Buckley/D4/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Hydraulic capacity

Ideker, Inc. is the prime contractor for the Route 13 Loop project in Johnson County. The plans call for installing wick drains with a two sand blanket on top of the wick drains in certain locations on the following two projects: J4P1138B &C. The contractor has submitted a V.E. proposal to install strip drains in lieu of the two foot sand blanket with an estimated reduction in construction cost of \$720,000.00. I am however in

need of some information as to who calculated and what the Hydraulic capacity of the two foot sand

blanket is. If anyone can provide me with this information it would be greatly appreciated.

Jim Sharp Senior Construction Inspector Clinton Project Office (660) 885-5665 (Office) (660) 890-5062 (Mobile)



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

03/04/2009 10:28 AM

To Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT

cc Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT

bce

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

We're thinking the same on this.......I was thinking about applying 1.25 SF which would bump it up to a minimal sustained load of 4688 psf?

The other thought I have..... are we comfortable that we can achieve an acceptable or comparable rate of settlement with the Ameri-drain versus the sand blanket? I think Mike may have hit on this or inferred this a little bit with the Hydraulic Capacity of the strip drain? I am assuming it will be OK? By contract, Structure No. A-7684 and A7477 requires the contractor to hold off or delay the approach slab and pavement construction for 5 months or until the settlement is complete? Structure No. A7475 has same type of delay, but it's 2 month's. Not 100% sure.....and I might be wrong, but I think these are structures associated with the Wick Drains. Once the 2 month and 5 month time period has passed, I'm assuming the contractor will want to start the approach slab and pavement construction regardless if we use the strip drain or the sand blanket.



Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modot.mo.gov

Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT



Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT 03/04/2009 09:57 AM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

cc Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

probably a little higher, height of fill, plus equipment running around on top of fill. Alan D. Miller, M.S., P.E. Geotechnical Engineer Geotechnical Section Construction and Materials Bus. (573) 526-5730 Fax (573) 526-4345 alan.miller@modot.mo.gov Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT



03/04/2009 09:54 AM

Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT

cc Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

I guessing the Ameri-drain would need to be able to tolerate or sustain a minimal unit load.....say of 3,750 psf? or would we want something a little higher with a an appropriate factor of safety? Just pulling this off the top of my head?

We could require some type of manufactures certification if you think it would be needed?

5

Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modot.mo.gov
Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT



Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT 03/04/2009 07:33 AM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

CC Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

We are thinking

1. Clear and grub the site

2. Install the pore pressure devices

3. Install a couple of feet of fill as a work platform

4. Install some additional fill in the middle to crown the fill 2' to provide drainage in all directions

5. Install the wick drains

6. Install the Amer-drain perpendicular to C/L across the tops of the wick drains, daylighting at the

SL

We had a couple of concerns;

The contractor needs to verify the Amer-drain will not cush under 30' of fill How do you connect the wick drains to the Ameri-drains.

I guess staples or maybe cut a slit in the Ameri-drain and insert the wick drain. Or maybe cut a slit all the way through the Ameri-drain, pull the wick drain thru, flop it over and duck tape it.

Alan D. Miller, M.S., P.E. Geotechnical Engineer

Geotechnical Section Construction and Materials Bus. (573) 526-5730 Fax (573) 526-4345 alan.miller@modot.mo.gov Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT 03/03/2009 04:49 PM

To Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT
Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Mike,

Please take a hard look at this for us. I'm sure you guys will get hit up with the formal review of the contractors VE proposal before any kind of acceptance. If accepted, we most likely would like to have some type acceptable performance measures and language addressed in the Change Order.

Thanks a bunch!



Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modot.mo.gov
Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT

Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT

03/03/2009 04:26 PM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Bruce.

We can probably come up with something. I'm thinking 2' of sand is probably overkill for the drainage capacity, but Tom has some good points too. We'll also need to make sure the proposed drain has whatever capacity we need under the planned amount of fill.

We'll kick it around here tomorrow and give you our thoughts.

Thanks, Mike

----- Forwarded by Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT on 03/03/2009 04:22 PM -----



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 03:43 PM

To Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT

CC

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Do we need to estimate the sand drain capacity as the project office has requested?

₹

Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modet.mo.gov
Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT

Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT

03/03/2009 03:37 PM

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Bruce,

I talked to Jon Voss yesterday. We agree that as long as the strip drain has adequate capacity, the VE proposal should be okay.

Thanks, Mike

Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT



Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 12:30 PM

To James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Alan D Miller/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Mike A Fritz/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G



Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Thomas W Fennessey/SC/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Jim,

You presented a good question. Off the top of my head, I currently don't have the answer. Historically MoDOT has used a sand blanket to drain any excess pore water pressure that gets generated. I realize the blanket thickness and the gradation of the sand influences the capacity of the blanket. I am assuming at some point time the hydraulic capacity of a 2' sand blanket may have been estimated with a specified gradation on past projects? I'm guessing it's a fairly easy calculation to perform.

In order to assist you, I am going to forward your question to the Central Office and see if they can help us out?

Ultimately, I can appreciate the contractors inquiry. I'm assuming, Ideker wants to select the proper strip drain to prevent any potential for a "blow out" as they pursue their VE proposal and the construction of the embankment?



Bruce A. Harvel
District Geologist
Missouri Department of Transportation
600 NE Colbern Road
Lee's Summit, MO 64086
Office (816) 622-6522 Fax (573) 526-0031
email Bruce.Harvel@modot.mo.gov
James W Sharp/D4/MODOT



James W Sharp/D4/MODOT

To Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

03/03/2009 11:02 AM

CC

Subject Fw: Hydraulic capacity

Jim Sharp Senior Construction Inspector Clinton Project Office (660) 885-5665 (Office) (660) 890-5062 (Mobile)

---- Forwarded by James W Sharp/D4/MODOT on 03/03/2009 11:01 AM ----



Paul C Boenisch/D4/MODOT 03/03/2009 10:55 AM

To James W Sharp/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Bruce A Harvel/D4/MODOT@MODOT

cc Amy S Nash/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dennis K Buckley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dwayne C



Severs/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Regina R Shipley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Richard S Uptegrove/D4/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Re: Hydraulic capacity

Jim,

The two foot thickness was provided by Bruce Harvel. Since this is a geology question, Bruce is the best person to contact to see if substitution is possible.

Paul

James W Sharp/D4/MODOT



James W Sharp/D4/MODOT

03/03/2009 10:19 AM

- To Paul C Boenisch/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Perry J Allen/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Amy S Nash/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Regina R Shipley/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Kevin W McLain/SC/MODOT@MODOT
- cc Jon G Voss/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Richard S Uptegrove/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dwayne C Severs/D4/MODOT@MODOT, Dennis K Buckley/D4/MODOT@MODOT

Subject Hydraulic capacity

Ideker, Inc. is the prime contractor for the Route 13 Loop project in Johnson County. The plans call for installing wick drains with a two sand blanket on top of the wick drains in certain locations on the following two projects: J4P1138B &C. The contractor has submitted a V.E. proposal to install strip drains in lieu of the two foot sand blanket with an estimated reduction in construction cost of \$720,000.00. I am however in need of some information as to who calculated and what the Hydraulic capacity of the two foot sand blanket is. If anyone can provide me with this information it would be greatly appreciated.

Jim Sharp Senior Construction Inspector Clinton Project Office (660) 885-5665 (Office) (660) 890-5062 (Mobile)

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK (Check one that applies) Bridge/Structure/Footings Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.) TCP/MOT Paving (PCCP, ect.) X Grading/MSE Walls Signal/Lighting/ITS Misc.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL (If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)	
 Use wick drains in lieu of planned 2' sand drainage blanket.	

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT	
If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database there are special instructions, make note of them here.	. If
Scan proposal only.	